To:

## From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

# PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)
(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

OGURI, Shohei Eikoh Patent Office

> 7-13, Nishi-Shimbashi 1-chom Minato-ku, Tokyo 1050003 JAPON

Received
'06.12.19

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 07 December 2006 (07.12.2006)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference P05225800	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT/JP2005/003874	International filing date (day/month/year) 07 March 2005 (07.03.2005)
Applicant NITTO	DENKO CORPORATION et al

Ĺ.	Transmittal	of the	translation	to	the applicant	_
٠.	ransmittai	or me	translation	to	the app	ucanı

4	The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report of patentability (Chapter I).
	·

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

None

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Masashi Honda

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P05225800	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2005/003874	International filing date (day/month/year) 07 March 2005 (07.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08 March 2004 (08.03.2004)	,
International Patent Classification (8tl See relevant information in Form F			***************************************
Applicant NITTO DENKO CORPORATION			

-				
1.	This international preliminary International Searching Author	report on patentability (Chapte ity under Rule 44 bis. I(a).	er I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the	
2.	This REPORT consists of a tot	tal of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any refe to the international preliminary	rence to the written opinion of report on patentability (Chap	the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference ter I) instead.	
3.	This report contains indication	s relating to the following item	ns:	
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opi	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	1	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	r Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial d explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inte	rnational application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	ne international application	
4.	The International Bureau will c not, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to des makes an express request und	ignated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but er Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority	
			Date of issuance of this report 29 November 2006 (29.11.2006)	
	The International Bur 34, chemin des Co 1211 Geneva 20, S	lombettes	Authorized officer Masashi Honda	
	nile No. +41 22 338 82 70		e-mail: pt08@wipo.int	

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION P05225800 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2005/003874 07.03.2005 08.03.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant NITTO DENKO CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to nevelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/003874

Box	x No. I Basis of this opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/003874

Ι.	Statement		
	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-6	YE
		Claims	. NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YE
		Claims 1-6	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-6	YE
		Claims	NO

# 2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 4-78478, A (Fujitsu Ltd.), 12 March, 1992 (12.03.92), page 3, upper left column, line 9-lower right column, line 12, Fig. 1

Document 2: JP, 2003-21897, A (Nitto Denko Corp.), 24 January, 2003 (24.01.03), paragraphs [0030]-[0040], Fig. 1

Document 3: JP, 5-121307, A (Casio Computer Co., Ltd.), 18 May, 1993 (18.05.93), paragraphs [0010]-[0012], Fig. 4

Document 4: JP, 2002-320902, A (Tokyo Electron Ltd.), 5 November, 2002 (05.11.02), Paragraphs [0016]-[0040], Figs. 1-7, & US, 2002-0150679, A1

#### Claim 1

The subject matter of claim 1 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR.

Document 1 describes a method for cleaning a semiconductor device using polyimide films. Document 2 describes a technique of applying polyamic acid to a wafer and drying, followed

by hardening with heat to form a polyimide resin layer

Document 3 describes a technique, when forming a film on a wafer, of removing a marked part of the film and exposing the surface of the wafer.

Therefore, a person skilled in the art could have easily conceived of the subject matter of claim 1 based on the techniques described in documents 1-3.

## Claim 2

The subject matter of claim 2 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR.

In addition to the reasons described with regard to claim 1, document 3 describes a technique of removing the film of a prescribed width part from a wafer outer circumference edge plane toward the center.

## Claim 3

The subject matter of claim 3 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR.

In addition to the reasons described with regard to claim 1, document 3 describes a technique of applying varnish by rotating, followed by removing a part of the varnish on the wafer. Furthermore, document 2 describes that the cure temperature of the varnish is 350-500 °C.

### Claim 4

The subject matter of claim 4 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/003874

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

In addition to the reasons described with regard to claim 1, document 1 describes a constitution in which foreign material generated in the semiconductor device is removed.

#### Claim 5

The subject matter of claim 5 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-4 cited in the ISR.

Document 1 describes a method for cleaning a semiconductor device using polyimide films.

Document 2 describes a technique of applying polyamic acid to a wafer and drying, followed by hardening by heat at the cure temperature of 350-500 °C to form a polyimide resin layer.

Document 3 describes a technique, when forming a film on a wafer, of removing a marked part of the film and exposing the surface of the wafer.

Document 4 describes an application film of a wafer-forming method for discharging varnish from a nozzle while rotating the wafer and moving the nozzle horizontally, to apply varnish spirally on the wafer.

A person skilled in the art could have conceived of providing a part to which varnish is not being applied, instead of removing the application film.

Therefore, a person skilled in the art could have easily conceived of the subject matter of claim 5 based on the techniques described in document 1-4.

### Claim 6

The subject matter of claim 6 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-4 cited in the ISR.

In addition to the reasons described with regard to claim 5, document 3 describes a technique of exposing the surface of the wafer as the marked part to a prescribed width from the wafer outer circumference edge plane toward the center, and document 4 describes an application technique in which the shape of the outer circumference edge can be nearly circular.